

Research Article Review

Please submit your report online through the OJS of Tuning Journal (http://www.tuningjournal.org/) within 21 days of receipt of article for review.

* Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory and should be filled in before submission. Acrobat reader 8 or later version is required to work with this pdf document.

| Full Name* | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Title and Position* | |
| Expertise (500 words max.)* | |
| 1 - 1 - 1 - COV | |
| Institutional affiliation* | |
| Contact address* | |
| E-mail* | |

N.B.: Currently, Tuning Journal for Higher Education uses a double-blind peer review system: mandatory anonymity for both the reviewer and reviewed author throughout the review process. Please ensure that the next pages of this review form, names of possible files associated with your review and manuscript files containing your own comments do not inadvertently reveal or contain your identification details.



Research Article Review

| Title* |
|---|
| Recommendation |
| SECTION A: GENERAL SUITABILITY FOR TJHE |
| Will this paper appeal to a broad audience?* Yes No Why? |
| |
| Is the subject of international rather than parochial interest?* Yes No |
| Is the title short and to the point?* Yes No |
| Is the abstract succinct and suitable?* Yes No |
| Are the keywords sufficient and suitable?* Yes No |
| SECTION B: DETAILED REVIEW |
| Does the paper represent a significant advance in theory or knowledge?* Yes No |
| Are the interpretations and conclusions sound and justified by the evidence?* Yes No |
| To your knowledge, has any of the material been published previously?* Yes No |



| Does the paper contain any expression liable to give offence?* — Yes — No |
|---|
| In your opinion, will the paper be cited?* Often Occasionally Never |
| Is the text clear and well written?* Yes No |
| Would the text be better shortened?* ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Could complex tables or detailed data be 'published' separately as Supplementary Files?* Yes No |
| Are the illustrations clear, well presented and suitable for reduction?* Yes No Not relevant |
| Are all the figures relevant and essential?* \[\sum \text{Yes} \text{No} \text{Not relevant} \] |
| Are all the tables relevant and essential?* \[Yes \text{No} \text{Not relevant} \] |
| Are all the references necessary and updated?* ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Comments for author(s) suggesting how, if necessary, the paper should be revised. |
| |
| Reviewer's recommendation (please indicate your overall assessment of the paper) by selecting one of the recommendations below:* |
| ☐ Accept Submission ☐ Revisions Required ☐ Resubmit for Review ☐ Decline Submission |
| Date: |
| Review Attachments: please list any attachments (annotated PDF, etc.) below. Please ensure that the names of any attachments and comments therein do not inadvertently reveal or contain your identification details. |
| |



| Keseai | rch Article I | Review | | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| Title | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Confidential | comments for Editor su | agosting how if r | accessary the pape | r chould be revised | 1 |
| These comme | comments for Editor, su ents will not be sent to | the author(s). | lecessary, the pape | r snould be revised | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |